UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,386	04/19/2007	Jonathan Sebastian Howes	79257-337169	8999
35657 7590 05/12/2009 FAEGRE & BENSON LLP PATENT DOCKETING - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2200 WELLS FARCO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			VASUDEVA, AJAY	
	2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLI			3617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

e-OfficeActionHNI@faegre.com dweiss@faegre.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/584,386	HOWES ET AL.				
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ajay Vasudeva	3617				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Ajay Vasudeva</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mr. Soumya Panda</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 April 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>WO 95/18036</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's counsel, Mr. Panda, contacted the Examiner to discuss the indefiniteness rejection under 35 USC 112(2). The Examiner recommended amending the claim by changing the "zero lift surface" to foil surface to overcome the 112 rejection. However, the amended claim still does not define over the WO '036 reference. No specific agreement was reached regarding overcoming potential 102/103 rejections with respect to the cited art.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Ajay Vasudeva/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617						